

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,076	10	0/28/2003	Robert B. Eveleigh	181-0041	4175
28078	7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
MAGINOT, MOORE & BECK				HOOK, JAMES F	
BANK ONE	CENTER/	TOWER	·		
1111 MONUMENT CIRCLE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				3754	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/695,076 Page 2

Art Unit: 3754

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the Terminal disclaimer filed March 1, 2005 which was deemed acceptable and obviates the double patenting rejection which has been overcome in light of the acceptable Terminal disclaimer.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being as anticipated by Matsumoto. The patent to Matsumoto discloses a baffle for mixing or agitation where the use of such in a mixing dome housing is merely intended use, comprising a baffle 24 with leading upstream edge seen in figure 1, where flow comes from inlet 21 making that the upstream end, having a surface tapering into the housing, another downstream surface wider than the upstream surface and extending further into the interior of the housing, where multiple baffles can be provided, where the baffle can be seen to an angle thereby providing the baffles with upstream and downstream portions, where such is used to replace baffles of the prior art that were provided perpendicular to flow as seen in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline (496) in view of King (967). The patent to Kline discloses the recited thermostatically controlled mixing valve comprising a thermostat 26, hot and cold fluid inlets 11,12, a control valve 14, a housing 32 having an inner wall provided with at least one baffle 31 of curved shapes, where there can be more than one baffle provided including up to three, and the baffles can be seen in figures 3-5 to have a surface are about one half the cross sectional area of the housing, and are formed of a structure where there is a larger surface portion and a surface portion that tapers toward the housing. The patent to Kline discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the baffles at an angle such that there is a leading upstream surface portion and a trailing downstream surface portion, having the baffle run 210 degrees from upstream to downstream surface portions, however such is considered a mere choice of mechanical expedients, and forming the baffle in a paisley shape which is considered an obvious choice of mechanical design to alter the shape. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffle in Kline to run 210 degrees from upstream to downstream surface portions as such would only require routine

Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

experimentation by one skilled in the art to arrive at optimum working values and such would provide for more mixing if the baffle were turned more. It is considered an obvious choice of mechanical design to form the baffle of any shape as such is merely a choice of mechanical design and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffles in Kline to be of any shape as such would only routine experimentation to change the shape to change the flow profile to meet the needs of the user as such is merely a change in mechanical design requiring only routine experimentation. The patent to King discloses a baffle for mixing or agitation where the use of such in a mixing dome housing is merely intended use, comprising a leading upstream edge seen in figures 7,9 having an surface tapering into the housing, another downstream surface wider than the upstream surface and extending further into the interior of the housing, where multiple baffles can be provided, and an arcuate portion connecting the upstream and downstream surface portions, where the baffle can be seen to an angle thereby providing the baffles with upstream and downstream portions, where such is used to replace baffles of the prior art that were provided perpendicular to flow as seen in figure 1. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffles in Kline to be formed with an upstream surface portion that tapers into the housing and a downstream surface portion that is wider than the upstream edge as suggested by King as such would provide for better mixing of the elements and thereby insure for a more mixed output as such is an improvement over the straight baffles of the prior art and would thereby save money by improving efficiency.

Claims 28-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kline (496) in view of Matsumoto. The patent to Kline discloses the recited thermostatically controlled mixing valve comprising a thermostat 26, hot and cold fluid inlets 11,12, a control valve 14, a housing 32 having an inner wall provided with at least one baffle 31 of curved shapes, where there can be more than one baffle provided including up to three, and the baffles can be seen in figures 3-5 to have a surface are about one half the cross sectional area of the housing, and are formed of a structure where there is a larger surface portion and a surface portion that tapers toward the housing. The patent to Kline discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of forming the baffles at an angle such that there is a leading upstream surface portion and a trailing downstream surface portion, having the baffle run 210 degrees from upstream to downstream surface portions, however such is considered a mere choice of mechanical expedients, and forming the baffle in a paisley shape which is considered an obvious choice of mechanical design to alter the shape. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffle in Kline to run 210 degrees from upstream to downstream surface portions as such would only require routine experimentation by one skilled in the art to arrive at optimum working values and such would provide for more mixing if the baffle were turned more. It is considered an obvious choice of mechanical design to form the baffle of any shape as such is merely a choice of mechanical design and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffles in Kline to be of any shape as such would only routine experimentation to change the shape to change the flow profile to meet the needs of the

user as such is merely a change in mechanical design requiring only routine experimentation. The patent to Matsumoto discloses a baffle for mixing or agitation where the use of such in a mixing dome housing is merely intended use, comprising a baffle 24 with leading upstream edge seen in figure 1, where flow comes from inlet 21 making that the upstream end, having a surface tapering into the housing, another downstream surface wider than the upstream surface and extending further into the interior of the housing, where multiple baffles can be provided, where the baffle can be seen to have an angle thereby providing the baffles with upstream and downstream portions, where such is used to replace baffles of the prior art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the baffles in Kline to be formed with an upstream surface portion that tapers into the housing and a downstream surface portion that is wider than the upstream edge as suggested by Matsumoto as such would provide for better flow through the device which would lead to better mixing due to the spiral flow induced, thereby saving money by improving efficiency.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Lamminen, Rao, Chyou, and Althaus disclosing state of the art baffles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFH